



Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Networking Fundamentals.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any further questions do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu



Akram Al-Rawi

Networking Fundamentals (201408_82257_IT_140_376)

No. of responses = 6

No. of enrolled = 11

% returned = 54.5


Overall indicators

1. Course Structure




av.=4.3
dev.=0.8

2. Class Environment




av.=3.9
dev.=1.2

3. Instructor Responsiveness



av.=4.4
dev.=0.6

4. Effectiveness



av.=3.8
dev.=1.4

5. Overall




av.=3.7
dev.=1.5

Survey Results

Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure


1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



Strongly Agree

n=6
av.=3.8
dev.=1.2


1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=6
av.=4.3
dev.=0.8


1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=6
av.=4.3
dev.=0.8

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.




Strongly Agree


n=6
av.=4.5
dev.=0.5

2. Class Environment


- 2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



- 2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.




- 2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.




3. Instructor Responsiveness


- 3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



- 3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.




- 3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).




4. Effectiveness

- 4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.




- 4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



5. Overall

- 5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



Profile

Subunit: TECH_: CSIT: IT
 Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
 Name of the course: Networking Fundamentals (201408_82257_IT_140_376)
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=3.8
1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.3
1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.3
1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.5

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=3.8
2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=3.7
2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.2

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.5
3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.5
3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.2

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.2
4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=3.3

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor						Excellent	n=6	av.=3.7
---	------	--	--	--	--	--	-----------	-----	---------

Comments Report

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- Communication was brief, probably our fault, never asked any questions or anything.

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- I feel like that class started in a place where you needed at least some background in networking. I don't have any, so the class was really hard for me. I thought it was going to be more of an introductory class. I was disappointed that I was expected to know so much information before going into the class
- Instructor only reads powerpoints, had no real lecture of his own. I can read by myself
- We did ask for help on a couple of things, and they made sense afterwards, so I guess so.

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- I do not feel as though I learned as much as I should have in the course. It was difficult for me to understand the new vocab the instructor was teaching me due to his accent. I understand English is not his first language, but it made the course incredibly difficult because I couldn't understand most of what he said during the lecture. When I asked him for help though, he was always willing to stay after class and help me.
- Not too bad, I learned what I wanted, and probably what I needed.
- This course has a large amount of subject matter and would benefit from meeting twice a week for two hours instead of the current once per week for two hours. Especially as this is the introductory class and is highly technical and complex.