



Akram Al-Rawi

## Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Networking Fundamentals.

The report includes:

- \* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

- \* Breakout of each section by item

- \* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any further questions do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer  
Market and Survey Research Analyst  
Office of Institutional Research  
rbrewer6@jccc.edu



## Akram Al-Rawi

Networking Fundamentals (201506\_60403\_IT\_140\_376)


No. of responses = 5

No. of enrolled = 10


% returned = 50

### Overall indicators


#### 1. Course Structure




#### 2. Class Environment




#### 3. Instructor Responsiveness



#### 4. Effectiveness




#### 5. Overall



### Survey Results

### Legend


Question text




n=No. of responses  
av.=Mean  
dev.=Std. Dev.  
ab.=Abstention

### 1. Course Structure


#### 1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.




#### 1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.




#### 1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.




#### 1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.



## 2. Class Environment




## 3. Instructor Responsiveness



## 4. Effectiveness



## 5. Overall



# Profile

Subunit: TECH\_: CSIT: IT  
 Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi  
 Name of the course: Networking Fundamentals (201506\_60403\_IT\_140\_376)  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.4
1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.8
1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.6
1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.8

## 2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.5
2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.5
2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.8

## 3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.8
3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.8
3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.6

## 4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.8
4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.2

## 5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor						Excellent	n=5	av.=4.6
---	------	--	--	--	--	--	-----------	-----	---------

## Comments Report

## 4. Effectiveness

<sup>4.3)</sup> Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- the course was rushed due to time hence affecting the effectiveness and understanding of the material

## 5. Overall

<sup>5.2)</sup> Additional comments concerning this course.

- very educative and practical cause. learnt alot of skills just short time to understand the material.