



Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Networking Fundamentals.

The report includes:

- * Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

- * Breakout of each section by item

- * Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu



Akram Al-Rawi

Networking Fundamentals (201508_81352_IT_140_377)


No. of responses = 4

No. of enrolled = 13

% returned = 30.8


Overall indicators

1. Course Structure




av.=3.4
dev.=1.1

2. Class Environment




av.=3.2
dev.=1.1

3. Instructor Responsiveness




av.=3.9
dev.=0.8

4. Effectiveness



av.=3.3
dev.=0.9

5. Overall




av.=2.3
dev.=1.3

Survey Results

Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure


1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



Strongly Agree

n=4
av.=1.8
dev.=1.5


1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=4
av.=4.5
dev.=0.6


1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=4
av.=4.3
dev.=0.5

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.




Strongly Agree


n=4
av.=3
dev.=1.8

2. Class Environment


- 2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



- 2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.




- 2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.




3. Instructor Responsiveness


- 3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



- 3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.




- 3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).




4. Effectiveness

- 4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.




- 4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



5. Overall

- 5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



Profile

Subunit: TECH_: CSIT: IT
 Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
 Name of the course: Networking Fundamentals (201508_81352_IT_140_377)
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=1.8
1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.5
1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.3
1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=3.0

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=2.5
2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=3.0
2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.0

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.5
3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=3.0
3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.3

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.3
4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=2.3

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor		Excellent	n=4	av.=2.3
---	------	--	-----------	-----	---------

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

^{1.5)} Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- Grades were kept in two locations, wasn't sure what my true grade was until final exam.

2. Class Environment

^{2.4)} Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- Akram asks for questions, but only waits half a beat for anybody to speak up before quickly moving on.
- He made learning difficult, when a question was asked it felt like I was imposing on his time schedule

4. Effectiveness

^{4.3)} Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- He has a thick accent, his method of teaching was a struggle for me as well as others. Our class drop down to about 4 to 5 people
- He uses a lot of the lab time as lecture time, which was not helpful at all.

5. Overall

^{5.2)} Additional comments concerning this course.

- This is a crazy hard class. I'm serious. I have 2 degrees, both with honors, and this is hands-down the hardest class I've ever taken. I can't believe it is considered a fundamentals class. I feel like there should be prerequisite to this class.