



Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Accessing Wide Area Networks.

The report includes:

- * Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

- * Breakout of each section by item

- * Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu



Akram Al-Rawi

Accessing Wide Area Networks (201608_82552_IT_247_350)


No. of responses = 7

No. of enrolled = 16

% returned = 43.8


Overall indicators

1. Course Structure




av.=4.8
dev.=0.4

2. Class Environment



av.=4.7
dev.=0.8

3. Instructor Responsiveness




av.=4.9
dev.=0.4

4. Effectiveness



av.=4.5
dev.=1

5. Overall




av.=4.6
dev.=1.1

Survey Results

Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure


1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



Strongly Agree

n=7
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5


1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=7
av.=4.9
dev.=0.4


1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=7
av.=4.9
dev.=0.4

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.




Strongly Agree


n=7
av.=4.9
dev.=0.4

2. Class Environment


- 2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



- 2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.




- 2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.




3. Instructor Responsiveness


- 3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



- 3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.




- 3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).




4. Effectiveness

- 4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.




- 4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



5. Overall

- 5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



Profile

Subunit: TECH_: CSIT: IT

Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi

Name of the course: Accessing Wide Area Networks (201608_82552_IT_247_350)
(Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.6
1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.9
1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.9
1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.9

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.6
2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.7
2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.9

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.9
3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.9
3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.9

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.7
4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.3

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor						Excellent	n=7	av.=4.6
---	------	--	--	--	--	--	-----------	-----	---------

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

^{1.5)} Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- Dr. Al-Rawi goes out of his way to clearly communicate his expectations to students in a timely and professional fashion.

2. Class Environment

^{2.4)} Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- Dr. Al-Rawi expertly motivates students to learn and succeed.
- There wasn't a component for classroom participation but the instructor always encouraged me to email him with questions, comments, or observations. Much appreciated in an online class.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

^{3.4)} Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- Dr. Al-Rawi is the hardest working professor I have ever had the pleasure of studying under. He is also the most prompt professor I have ever had in terms of grading and responding to emails. I have had dozens of professors over the years so this is no small order.
- Instructor was always very quick to respond, sometimes within a few minutes. Very much appreciated in an online class.

4. Effectiveness

^{4.3)} Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- In this case, there wasn't much of a component for the instructor to teach - it was almost entirely based on the online curriculum. To that end, instructor feedback and pointers were very helpful.

5. Overall

^{5.2)} Additional comments concerning this course.

- The notes included in the content and dropbox sections of D2L really help make the most of the materials at Cisco's NetAcad website.
- The overall course instruction was excellent.
- The teacher is very engaged and helpful when questions arise. This is, however, an online course and the curriculum is rigidly set. Being as online was the only version of this class offered, I can't make a good comparison. I do know that online classes aren't my preferred way of taking them.

Also, I think it would be better to adopt an either / or approach to the testing. Either use Netacad academy OR the D2L environment but not both. I also found it confusing understanding what assignments need to be done week to week and where they are supposed to be submitted.