Akram Al-Rawi

# Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Linux Administration.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

# Akram Al-Rawi

Linux Administration (201701_11989_IT_231_377)
No. of responses = 10
No. of enrolled = 13
% returned = 76.9

## Overall indicators

1. Course Structure

av.=4.5
dev.=0.6

2. Class Environment

av.=4.4
dev.=0.8

3. Instructor Responsiveness

av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

4. Effectiveness




av.=4.1
dev.=1.1

5. Overall

av.=4
dev.=1.2

## Survey Results

# Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

## 1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.




n=10
av.=4.4
dev.=0.7

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.




n=10
av.=4.5
dev.=0.5

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.




n=10
av.=4.5
dev.=0.5

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.




n=10
av.=4.5
dev.=0.5

## 2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.




n=10
av.=4.3
dev.=0.8

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.




n=10
av.=4.3
dev.=0.9

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.




n=10
av.=4.5
dev.=0.5

## 3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.




n=10
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.




n=10
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).




n=10
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

## 4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.




n=10
av.=4.2
dev.=0.9

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.




n=10
av.=3.9
dev.=1.3

## 5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.




n=10
av.=4
dev.=1.2

# Profile

Subunit: TECH_: CSIT: IT
Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Linux Administration (201701_11989_IT_231_377)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 1. Course Structure

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.1) | Material is presented in a clear, logical manner. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=10 av.=4.4 |
| 1.2) | The instructor provided a class syllabus. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=10 av.=4.5 |
| 1.3) | The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=10 av.=4.5 |
| 1.4) | The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=10 av.=4.5 |

## 2. Class Environment

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2.1) | The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=10 av.=4.3 |
| 2.2) | The instructor encourages participation and questions. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=10 av.=4.3 |
| 2.3) | The instructor treats students with respect. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=10 av.=4.5 |

## 3. Instructor Responsiveness

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3.1) | The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=10 av.=4.6 |
| 3.2) | The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=10 av.=4.6 |
| 3.3) | The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example). | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=10 av.=4.6 |

## 4. Effectiveness

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4.1) | Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=10 av.=4.2 |
| 4.2) | The instructor's method of teaching is effective. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=10 av.=3.9 |

## 5. Overall

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5.1) | Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course. | Poor | Excellent | n=10 av.=4.0 |

# Comments Report

## 1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- great guy
- very clear and quality was excellent

## 2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- great guy
- helped in solving difficult projects creating a great learning environment

## 3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- Excellent instructor
- Great professor
- great guy

## 4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- everything done on time and instructor responds to emails immediately
- great guy

## 5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- Good course, i have learned a lot. but the labs needs some updates and reviews.
- excellent professor
- would take again