Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Ethical Hacking.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

Akram Al-Rawi

Ethical Hacking (201708_82655_IT_239_376)
No. of responses = 10
No. of enrolled = 13
% returned = 76.9

Overall indicators

1. Course Structure — av.=4.7 dev.=0.4

2. Class Environment — av.=4.7 dev.=0.5

3. Instructor Responsiveness — av.=4.8 dev.=0.4

4. Effectiveness — av.=4.5 dev.=0.8

5. Overall — av.=4.6 dev.=0.5

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



n=10 av.=4.5 dev.=0.5

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



n=10 av.=4.8 dev.=0.4

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



n=10 av.=4.8 dev.=0.4

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.



n=9 av.=4.9 dev.=0.3

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



n=10
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.



n=10
av.=4.6
dev.=0.7

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.



n=10
av.=4.9
dev.=0.3

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



n=10
av.=4.9
dev.=0.3

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.



n=10
av.=4.6
dev.=0.7

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).



n=10
av.=4.9
dev.=0.3

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.



n=10
av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



n=10
av.=4.3
dev.=1.1

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



n=10
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Ethical Hacking

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1)	Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.5
1.2)	The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.8
1.3)	The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.8
1.4)	The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.9

2. Class Environment

2.1)	The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.6
2.2)	The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.6
2.3)	The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.9

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1)	The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.9
3.2)	The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.6
3.3)	The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.9

4. Effectiveness

4.1)	Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.7
4.2)	The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.3

5. Overall

5.1)	Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor	Excellent	n=10	av.=4.6

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- Very straightforward

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- Answers all questions, in class and through email. Promotes class participation.
- Excellent instructor
- there should be problems for students to solve. The teacher is following a course line, but everything is "digested" for students, meaning do this, now do that.... there should give us at least one problem for us to solve.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- Grades are known throughout semester very quickly
- He is wonderful

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- Did well on all homework, professor was very supportive
- The instructor used the labs to instruct during class. I do not think this was the most efficient use of his obvious knowledge. There were a few times that Mr. Al-Rawi went off topic and instructed us in real world situations. These were very enjoyable and it was incredibly obvious & insightful. It demonstrated to me that he knew his material backwards and forwards. I really wish he would have done more lecturing/ instruction during the class. The times he shared his personal analysis of real world situations was incredibly helpful. I've realized during this class that part of being a security person is knowing how the bad guy thinks and more of Mr Al-Rawi personal experience mixed in with lecturing would have been incredibly helpful. I did really enjoy the times he shared with us these stories or things that he had read and it helped to shape my understanding of what a security person should be.

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- Akrim was a great instructor. Extremely knowledgeable and very well prepared for class. Very nice guy and enjoyed the class. The only problem I had was the O/S we were hacking into are no really around anymore. Windows 2003 and XP are very out dated.
- The instructor walks through the labs in class. I feel that class time would be better used for more than walking through labs. I would like more lecture on infosecurity topics and current events. There was really no need to go to class in person since labs and materials were online. I wish class time could have been more useful.
- This course was probably the most interesting of the IT courses, hopefully it develops into a more robust curriculum.