Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Linux Administration.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

Akram Al-Rawi

Linux Administration (201901_11322_IT_231_376)
No. of responses = 12
No. of enrolled = 12
% returned = 100

Overall indicators

1. Course Structure — av.=4.5 dev.=0.8

2. Class Environment — av.=4.2 dev.=1

3. Instructor Responsiveness — av.=4.4 dev.=0.9

4. Effectiveness — av.=3.9 dev.=1.2

5. Overall — av.=4 dev.=1



Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



n=12
av.=3.8
dev.=1.3

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



n=11
av.=4.8
dev.=0.6

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



n=11
av.=4.6
dev.=0.7

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.



n=10
av.=4.7
dev.=0.7

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=12
av.=4
dev.=1.2

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=11
av.=4.3
dev.=0.9

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=11
av.=4.4
dev.=0.8

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=12
av.=4.7
dev.=0.7

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=11
av.=4.1
dev.=1.3

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=10
av.=4.5
dev.=0.7

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=12
av.=4.2
dev.=1

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=12
av.=3.7
dev.=1.3

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



Poor — Excellent

n=12
av.=4
dev.=1

Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Linux Administration (201901_11322_IT_231_376)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1)	Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=3.8
1.2)	The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.8
1.3)	The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.6
1.4)	The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.7

2. Class Environment

2.1)	The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.0
2.2)	The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.3
2.3)	The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.4

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1)	The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.7
3.2)	The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.1
3.3)	The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.5

4. Effectiveness

4.1)	Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.2
4.2)	The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=3.7

5. Overall

5.1)	Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor	Excellent	n=12	av.=4.0

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- Dr. did very well and would prefer him to be an instructor in my future classes
- Great Teacher
- Some times all over the place with material.
- The difference between the instructions and the class environment can be confusing, especially when you miss a day in class. (name difference/different folder or file names)

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- Helpful when we ask questions
- Sometimes feel like we're not good enough making comments like 'wasted 20 mins' makes me feel very nervous and not welcomed.
- The Dr. did an amazing job and I expected myself to drop the class or fail, but the Dr. always answered emails quickly and efficently, as well as, stayed after class to help students instead of just running out the door.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- He always grades assignments in a timely manner, I'm pleased .
- very quick to get back to emails. Sometimes can't understand he's English.

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- His English on several things was very hard to understand and made my grade lower, even after asking for clarification.

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- Couldn't ask for a better professor. Glad he is my teacher.
- I feel this class could be better with a TA to read over things before we get them for clarification.
- I hope the Dr. enjoys the class as much as I did as a student!