



Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Ethical Hacking.

The report includes:

- * Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

- * Breakout of each section by item

- * Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu



Akram Al-Rawi

Ethical Hacking (201908_80365_IT_239_376)

No. of responses = 9

No. of enrolled = 11

% returned = 81.8


Overall indicators

1. Course Structure



av.=4.8
dev.=0.4

2. Class Environment




av.=4.9
dev.=0.3

3. Instructor Responsiveness




av.=4.9
dev.=0.3

4. Effectiveness



av.=4.3
dev.=1.1

5. Overall




av.=4.6
dev.=0.7

Survey Results

Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure


1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.4
dev.=1


1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=5
dev.=0


1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.9
dev.=0.3


1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.




Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.9
dev.=0.3


2. Class Environment




3. Instructor Responsiveness



4. Effectiveness



5. Overall



Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
 Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
 Name of the course: Ethical Hacking (201908_80365_IT_239_376)
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.4
1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=5.0
1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.9
1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.9

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.9
2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.9
2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.9

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.9
3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.8
3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=5.0

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.4
4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.1

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor						Excellent	n=9	av.=4.6
---	------	--	--	--	--	--	-----------	-----	---------

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

^{1.5)} Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

No data available.

2. Class Environment

^{2.4)} Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

No data available.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

^{3.4)} Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

No data available.

4. Effectiveness

^{4.3)} Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

No data available.

5. Overall

^{5.2)} Additional comments concerning this course.

No data available.