



Akram Al-Rawi

## Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Linux Administration.

The report includes:

- \* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

- \* Breakout of each section by item

- \* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer  
Market and Survey Research Analyst  
Office of Institutional Research  
rbrewer6@jccc.edu



## Akram Al-Rawi

Linux Administration (201908\_80363\_IT\_231\_376)


No. of responses = 5

No. of enrolled = 7


% returned = 71.4

### Overall indicators


#### 1. Course Structure




#### 2. Class Environment




#### 3. Instructor Responsiveness



#### 4. Effectiveness




#### 5. Overall



### Survey Results

#### Legend


Question text




n=No. of responses  
av.=Mean  
dev.=Std. Dev.  
ab.=Abstention

#### 1. Course Structure


1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.




1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.




1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.




## 2. Class Environment


- 2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



- 2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.




- 2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.




## 3. Instructor Responsiveness


- 3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



- 3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.




- 3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).




## 4. Effectiveness

- 4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.




- 4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



## 5. Overall

- 5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



# Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT  
 Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi  
 Name of the course: Linux Administration (201908\_80363\_IT\_231\_376)  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.8
1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=5.0
1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=5.0
1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.8

## 2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.4
2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.4
2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=5.0

## 3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.8
3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.4
3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.8

## 4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.6
4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.2

## 5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor					Excellent	n=5	av.=4.2
---	------	--	--	--	--	-----------	-----	---------

# Comments Report

## 1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

No data available.

## 2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

No data available.

## 3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

■ Professor Akram always followed up with my questions after class. He was very easy to meet with for any help.

## 4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

No data available.

## 5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

■ For a server administration class, the material was mostly good. However, the examples in some labs missed the mark for me, for example setting up a virtual printer using a parallel port instead of the actual class printer, or using outdated text-mode email software, or managing users one at a time instead of through a database application.