Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Scaling Networks.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

Akram Al-Rawi
Scaling Networks (202001_10184_IT_209_376)
No. of responses = 8
No. of enrolled = 10
% returned = 80

Overall indicators

1. Course Structure
av.=4.5
dev.=0.6

2. Class Environment
av.=4.3
dev.=0.8

3. Instructor Responsiveness
av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

4. Effectiveness
av.=4.2
dev.=1

5. Overall
av.=4.5
dev.=0.8

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Absolute Frequencies of answers
Relative Frequencies of answers
Std. Dev.
Mean

Left pole
Right pole

Scale
Histogram

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.
| | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| | 0% | 0% | 12.5% | 50% | 37.5% |

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree
n=8
av.=4.3
dev.=0.7

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| | 0% | 0% | 0% | 37.5% | 62.5% |

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree
n=8
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| | 0% | 0% | 0% | 37.5% | 62.5% |

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree
n=8
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| | 0% | 0% | 0% | 37.5% | 62.5% |

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree
n=8
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.

	0	1	1	3	3
	0%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=8
av.=4
dev.=1.1

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.

	0	0	1	4	3
	0%	0%	12.5%	50%	37.5%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=8
av.=4.3
dev.=0.7

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.

	0	0	0	3	5
	0%	0%	0%	37.5%	62.5%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=8
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.

	0	0	0	2	6
	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=8
av.=4.8
dev.=0.5

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.

	0	0	1	2	5
	0%	0%	12.5%	25%	62.5%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=8
av.=4.5
dev.=0.8

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).

	0	0	0	1	7
	0%	0%	0%	12.5%	87.5%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=8
av.=4.9
dev.=0.4

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.

|	0	0	2	1	5
	0%	0%	25%	12.5%	62.5%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=8
av.=4.4
dev.=0.9

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.

	0	1	1	3	3
	0%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=8
av.=4
dev.=1.1

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.

	0	0	1	2	5
	0%	0%	12.5%	25%	62.5%

Poor — Excellent

1 2 3 4 5

n=8
av.=4.5
dev.=0.8

Profile

1. Course Structure

			n	av.
1.1)	Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree ———— Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.3
1.2)	The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree ———— Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.6
1.3)	The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree ———— Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.6
1.4)	The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree ———— Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.6

2. Class Environment

			n	av.
2.1)	The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree ———— Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.0
2.2)	The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree ———— Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.3
2.3)	The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree ———— Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.6

3. Instructor Responsiveness

|			n	av.
3.1)	The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree ———— Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.8
3.2)	The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree ———— Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.5
3.3)	The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree ———— Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.9

4. Effectiveness

			n	av.
4.1)	Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree ———— Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.4
4.2)	The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree ———— Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.0

5. Overall

			n	av.
5.1)	Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor ———— Excellent	n=8	av.=4.5

Comments Report

----

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

No data available.

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

No data available.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

No data available.

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

No data available.

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- Instructor didn't add much value to the course. Could have just read the chapters and did the cisco assignments and not miss out on anything. Overall good.

- The course's class time can be difficult to follow along with at times due to lack of engagement and difficulty understanding spoken words. Overall the class is efficient from an online standard and it's easy to grow.