Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Networking Fundamentals.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

Akram Al-Rawi

Networking Fundamentals (202006_60146_IT_140_352)
No. of responses = 6
No. of enrolled = 7
% returned = 85.7

Overall indicators

1. Course Structure — av.=4.8 dev.=0.2

2. Class Environment — av.=4.8 dev.=0.3

3. Instructor Responsiveness — av.=4.8 dev.=0.4

4. Effectiveness — av.=4.6 dev.=0.5

5. Overall — av.=4.7 dev.=0.5

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner. — Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree — 0 0 0 3 3 (0% 0% 0% 50% 50%) — n=6 av.=4.5 dev.=0.5

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus. — Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree — 0 0 0 0 6 (0% 0% 0% 0% 100%) — n=6 av.=5 dev.=0

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus. — Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree — 0 0 0 1 5 (0% 0% 0% 16.7% 83.3%) — n=6 av.=4.8 dev.=0.4

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined. — Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree — 0 0 0 0 6 (0% 0% 0% 0% 100%) — n=6 av.=5 dev.=0

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



n=6
av.=4.3
dev.=0.8

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.



n=6
av.=5
dev.=0

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.



n=6
av.=5
dev.=0

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



n=6
av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.



n=6
av.=4.7
dev.=0.8

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).



n=6
av.=5
dev.=0

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.



n=6
av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



n=6
av.=4.5
dev.=0.5

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



n=6
av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Networking Fundamentals (202006_60146_IT_140_352)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure



1.1)	Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.5
1.2)	The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.0
1.3)	The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.8
1.4)	The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.0

2. Class Environment



2.1)	The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.3
2.2)	The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.0
2.3)	The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.0

3. Instructor Responsiveness



3.1)	The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.7
3.2)	The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.7
3.3)	The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=5.0

4. Effectiveness



4.1)	Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.7
4.2)	The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree / Strongly Agree	n=6	av.=4.5

5. Overall

5.1)	Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor / Excellent	n=6	av.=4.7

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

No data available.

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

No data available.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- I never asked how I was doing, but sure he would tell me.

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

No data available.

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- For online classes I prefer youtube videos instead of zoom calls. It can be difficult to join zoom calls and with shorter videos that I can speed up the playback speed on I get through things at my own pace.
- This is a summer course and very compacted. If the student completes and submits the assignment before the course terms, I believe they should received the credit of the work produced. This not an easy class, it does take time and dedication to sit down and not just learn but understand the information. Completing the work to me shows motivation and determination. It should not be based on the fact the work was submitted via comments, that's a negative impact on the student when they are already doing their best to keep up. Other than that, the class is awesome, I learned how to calculate a subnet and that has been my highlight.