

Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Linux Administration.

The report includes:

- * Overall summary of each section:
- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall
- * Breakout of each section by item
- * Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer Market and Survey Research Analyst Office of Institutional Research rbrewer6@jccc.edu




Akram Al-Rawi

Linux Administration (202008 81260 IT 231 376) No. of responses = 9
No. of enrolled = 11 % returned = 81.8

Overall indicators 1. Course Structure av.=4.5 dev.=0.8 2. Class Environment av.=4 dev.=1.4 3. Instructor Responsiveness av.=4.5 dev.=0.7 4. Effectiveness


5. Overall




Survey Results


Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT

Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

Linux Administration (202008_81260_IT_231_376)

Values used in the profile line: Mean


1. Course Structure

- 1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.
- 1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.
- 1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.
- 1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.

Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=3.9
Strongly Disagree	 	Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.8
Strongly Disagree	 	Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.7
Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.6


2. Class Environment

- 2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.
- 2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.
- ^{2.3)} The instructor treats students with respect.




3. Instructor Responsiveness

- 3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.
- 3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.
- 3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).



4. Effectiveness

- 4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.
- $^{4.2)}\,\,$ The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



5. Overall

^{5.1)} Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

No data available.

2. Class Environment

^{2.4)} Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

No data available.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

^{3.4)} Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

No data available.

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

No data available.

5. Overall

- ^{5.2)} Additional comments concerning this course.
- The course quality was likely degraded due to the unusual and forced medium of teaching. I am fairly sure that in a correct classroom environment, the instructor could teach more actively and effectively.
- The instructor is very active and focused on helping us learn the material in this class. I think he does a great job of explaining material and keeping the whole class engaged during the Zoom class sessions.