



Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Networking Fundamentals.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu



Akram Al-Rawi

Networking Fundamentals (202008_81235_IT_140_377)


No. of responses = 8

No. of enrolled = 13

% returned = 61.5


Overall indicators

1. Course Structure




av.=4.3
dev.=1.4

2. Class Environment




av.=4.3
dev.=1.4

3. Instructor Responsiveness




av.=4.3
dev.=1.6

4. Effectiveness



av.=4.1
dev.=1.4

5. Overall




av.=4
dev.=1.4

Survey Results

Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention


1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.




n=8
av.=4.1
dev.=1.6

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.




n=8
av.=4.6
dev.=1.1

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.




n=8
av.=4.5
dev.=1.1

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.




n=8
av.=4.1
dev.=1.6


2. Class Environment




3. Instructor Responsiveness



4. Effectiveness



5. Overall



Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
 Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
 Name of the course: Networking Fundamentals (202008_81235_IT_140_377)
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.1
1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.6
1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.5
1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.1

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.1
2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.3
2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.4

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.3
3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.0
3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.5

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.0
4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.3

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor					Excellent	n=8	av.=4.0
---	------	--	--	--	--	-----------	-----	---------

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- Even with massive amounts of studying, it is extremely difficult to pass any of the exams, considering that many of the answers to the questions do not reflect what we learned in the modules, though thankfully this can easily be overlooked by the fact that the exams in this class are worth such a small portion of your overall grade.
- He is very clear in teachings. I am looking forward to be in his class again next semester.

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- Overallly good.
- The instructor speaks very slowly, which can be annoying for some people (including myself), but I can understand why this is necessary for others, so I appreciate it nonetheless. Aside from that, the instructor is very helpful, especially because he allows the students to ask questions during the lectures, which are streamed live on Zoom (as they should always be) and which discuss both the textbook and the homework extensively. Brilliant professor that I would highly recommend to more students who would like to take this course.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- Even on weekends he response very well.
- It can sometimes take the professor several days to grade assignments, but I can imagine that he has a lot more to do than just grading assignments in this class, so I am willing to accept that.
- Mr. Al-Rawi was very responsive, which I very much appreciated.

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

No data available.

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- Aside from the ineffective modules as the only means to study for exams that you will always fail regardless, my professor in this class is amazing all the way around, especially considering that I don't even think the module problem is all his fault.
- Overallly good.
- the use of the lockdown browser is incredibly useless and a waste of time