Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Scaling Networks.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

Akram Al-Rawi

Scaling Networks (202008_81252_IT_209_376)
No. of responses = 12
No. of enrolled = 12
% returned = 100

Overall indicators

1. Course Structure — av.=4.3 dev.=1

2. Class Environment — av.=4.1 dev.=1.5

3. Instructor Responsiveness — av.=4.2 dev.=1.2

4. Effectiveness — av.=4 dev.=1.5

5. Overall — av.=4 dev.=1.5

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



n=12 av.=3.9 dev.=1.5

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



n=12 av.=4.5 dev.=0.7

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



n=12 av.=4.4 dev.=0.8

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.



n=12 av.=4.3 dev.=1.1

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=12
av.=4.1
dev.=1.5

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=12
av.=4
dev.=1.3

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=12
av.=4.1
dev.=1.5

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=12
av.=4.4
dev.=0.8

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=12
av.=4
dev.=1.5

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=12
av.=4.3
dev.=1.2

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=12
av.=3.9
dev.=1.5

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=12
av.=4
dev.=1.5

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



Poor — Excellent

n=12
av.=4
dev.=1.5

Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Scaling Networks (202008_81252_IT_209_376)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure



1.1)	Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	n=12	av.=3.9
1.2)	The instructor provided a class syllabus.	n=12	av.=4.5
1.3)	The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	n=12	av.=4.4
1.4)	The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	n=12	av.=4.3

2. Class Environment



2.1)	The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	n=12	av.=4.1
2.2)	The instructor encourages participation and questions.	n=12	av.=4.0
2.3)	The instructor treats students with respect.	n=12	av.=4.1

3. Instructor Responsiveness



3.1)	The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	n=12	av.=4.4
3.2)	The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	n=12	av.=4.0
3.3)	The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	n=12	av.=4.3

4. Effectiveness



4.1)	Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	n=12	av.=3.9
4.2)	The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	n=12	av.=4.0

5. Overall

5.1)	Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	n=12	av.=4.0

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- Discussions, People, Pages, Conferences, and Collaborations are pages that serve no purpose and should be removed. All assignments and exams should be visible from the modules page, making the Assignments page redundant. Announcements page could be more useful if integrated into the modules page. Modules are organized by week, yet the contents of the modules are organized by chapter, even if the assignments in that chapter are due on different weeks. If you put a link on a specific assignments page, then you don't need to put one in the module too. I appreciate the effort to make certain things as available as possible, but it just feels cluttered.

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

No data available.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

No data available.

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- The instructor's method of teaching is effective, but slightly conflicts with my method of learning. Instructor feedback doesn't really apply when the assignments consist of lab scenarios with step by step instructions.

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- This course could benefit from prerecorded lectures of the chapter concepts, especially if they included the instructors personal insights into the content and how it relates to the real world industry.