



Akram Al-Rawi

## Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Cybersecurity Fundamentals.

The report includes:

\* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

\* Breakout of each section by item

\* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer  
Market and Survey Research Analyst  
Office of Institutional Research  
rbrewer6@jccc.edu



## Akram Al-Rawi

Cybersecurity Fundamentals (202101\_11031\_IT\_175\_350)


No. of responses = 16

No. of enrolled = 18

% returned = 88.9


### Overall indicators

#### 1. Course Structure



av.=4.8  
dev.=0.5

#### 2. Class Environment



av.=4.6  
dev.=0.6

#### 3. Instructor Responsiveness




av.=4.8  
dev.=0.4

#### 4. Effectiveness



av.=4.4  
dev.=0.8

#### 5. Overall



av.=4.5  
dev.=0.6

### Survey Results

#### Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses  
av.=Mean  
dev.=Std. Dev.  
ab.=Abstention

#### 1. Course Structure


1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



Strongly Agree

n=16  
av.=4.6  
dev.=0.6


1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=16  
av.=4.9  
dev.=0.3


1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=16  
av.=4.8  
dev.=0.4


1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.




Strongly Agree

n=16  
av.=4.8  
dev.=0.4

## 2. Class Environment



## 3. Instructor Responsiveness



## 4. Effectiveness



## 5. Overall



# Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT  
 Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi  
 Name of the course: Cybersecurity Fundamentals (202101\_11031\_IT\_175\_350)  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.6
1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.9
1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.8
1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.8

## 2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.6
2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.4
2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.8

## 3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.9
3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.7
3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.9

## 4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.4
4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.3

## 5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor					Excellent	n=16	av.=4.5
---	------	--	--	--	--	-----------	------	---------

# Comments Report

## 1. Course Structure

<sup>1.5)</sup> Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- There is too much information or extra links listed each week in the modules. Providing better titles for materials might also help. Might help to clean up the main landing page of the Canvas course.

## 2. Class Environment

<sup>2.4)</sup> Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

No data available.

## 3. Instructor Responsiveness

<sup>3.4)</sup> Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- Mr Al-rawi respond to email any time, it doesn't matter when I email. I get response under 10mins.
- The instructor was good at getting our assignment graded in a timely manner.
- The time to answer email is always quick and concise. No question has gone unanswered.

## 4. Effectiveness

<sup>4.3)</sup> Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- I liked that we went over the Labs in class because it helped a lot.

## 5. Overall

<sup>5.2)</sup> Additional comments concerning this course.

- Thank you for teaching us this year... You have been great help!
- This is class that provides a great deal of value to the entire program, as it is taught. It draws on experiences from other classes but also teaches those skills along the way.
- it was good