

### Akram Al-Rawi

# Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Linux Fundamentals.

The report includes:

- \* Overall summary of each section:
- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall
- \* Breakout of each section by item
- \* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer Market and Survey Research Analyst Office of Institutional Research rbrewer6@jccc.edu



## Akram Al-Rawi

Linux Fundamentals (202106\_60256\_IT\_230\_376)
No. of responses = 8
No. of enrolled = 8
% returned = 100


# 1. Course Structure 2. Class Environment 3. Instructor Responsiveness 4. Effectiveness 2. Class Environment 3. Instructor Responsiveness 4. Effectiveness

5. Overall dev.=1.2

### Survey Results


# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

dev.=1.2



### 2. Class Environment The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive n=8 Strongly Strongly Agree to learning. av.=4.1 Disagreé dev.=0.6 37.5% The instructor encourages participation and n=8 Strongly Strongly Agree questions. av.=4.1 Disagree dev.=1 62.5% The instructor treats students with respect. n=8 Strongly Strongly Agree av.=4.6 Disagree dev.=0.5 5 3. Instructor Responsiveness The instructor grades assignments in a timely n=8 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree manner. av.=4.8 dev.=0.5 The instructor clearly communicates how I am n=8 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree doing in the course. av.=4.5 dev.=0.8 5 0 The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable n=8 Strongly Strongly Agree time (within 24 hours during the work week, for av.=4.8 Disagree example). dev.=0.5 4. Effectiveness Feedback from the instructor on my work helps n=8 Strongly Agree Strongly av.=4.1 me learn. Disagree dev.=1.1 The instructor's method of teaching is effective. n=8 Strongly Strongly Agree av.=3.9 Disagree dev.=1.4 5. Overall Overall evaluation of the instruction for this

course.

Poor

n=8

av.=4.1 dev.=1.2

Excellent

# Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT


Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi

Name of the source: Linux Fundament

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

Linux Fundamentals

Values used in the profile line: Mean




- 1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.
- 1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.
- 1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.
- .4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are

Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=3.8
Strongly Disagree	7	Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.9
Strongly Disagree	_	Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.6
Strongly Disagree	7	Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.8


### 2. Class Environment

- 2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.
- 2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.
- <sup>2.3)</sup> The instructor treats students with respect.



### 3. Instructor Responsiveness

- 3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.
- 3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.
- 3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).



### 4. Effectiveness

- 4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.
- $^{4.2)}\,\,$  The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



### 5. Overall

<sup>5.1)</sup> Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



# Comments Report

### 1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

No data available.

### 2. Class Environment

<sup>2.4)</sup> Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

No data available.

### 3. Instructor Responsiveness

- <sup>3.4)</sup> Additional comments for items 3.1 3.3.
- Prof. Al-Rawi was great at responding in a quick manner whenever I needed help. Wouldn't have been able to maintain a good grade if he had been even average in this regard.

### 4. Effectiveness

- 4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 4.2.
- I wish there were labs like the skill assessments every week instead of the labs we were doing. I felt like I learned the most from doing those. Labs worked well for lecture though, just a little dry at times.

### 5. Overall

- <sup>5.2)</sup> Additional comments concerning this course.
- I appreciated that in this course we used the virtual machines set up by the instructor instead of the machines in the Cisco course. The Cisco machines were set up specifically for the course so they behaved as expected, ours were different in some ways and this led to sometimes needing work around things differently. This helped me on a few occasions understand much more thoroughly what we were practicing. Troubleshooting is a valuable skill and I appreciate that we got to experience some of that in this course, I think it will also help me retain more of what we learned. The instructor was very responsive to email, and provided plenty of opportunity for questions in the labs.
- I felt like I was challenged more in the UNIX Fundamentals class. With labs being reasonably difficult it forced you to understand in order to get a good grade. I did get a better understanding of partitions and filesystems in this course though and was provided a good recap on everything else.
- Loved the course! Ready to learn more about Linux
- The use of the Cisco NetAcad curriculum combined with the use of JCCC's virtual machines caused a lot of confusion. It seemed that a lot of time was wasted trying to modify steps from the assignments to make them work for the virtual machines.