



Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Ethical Hacking.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu



Akram Al-Rawi

Ethical Hacking (202108_81616_IT_239_350)

No. of responses = 16

No. of enrolled = 19

% returned = 84.2


Overall indicators

1. Course Structure



av.=4.5
dev.=0.7

2. Class Environment




av.=3.9
dev.=1

3. Instructor Responsiveness




av.=4.5
dev.=0.8

4. Effectiveness



av.=3.9
dev.=1

5. Overall



av.=4.3
dev.=0.8

Survey Results

Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure


1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



Strongly Agree

n=16
av.=4.3
dev.=0.8


1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=16
av.=4.7
dev.=0.6


1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=15
av.=4.5
dev.=0.7

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.




Strongly Agree


n=16
av.=4.5
dev.=0.8

2. Class Environment


- 2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



- 2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.




- 2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.




3. Instructor Responsiveness


- 3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



- 3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.




- 3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).




4. Effectiveness

- 4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.




- 4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



5. Overall

- 5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
 Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
 Name of the course: Ethical Hacking (202108_81616_IT_239_350)
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.3
1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.7
1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.5
1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.5

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.0
2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=3.5
2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.3

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.7
3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.1
3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.7

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=4.0
4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=16	av.=3.8

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor					Excellent	n=16	av.=4.3
---	------	--	--	--	--	-----------	------	---------

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- Descriptions for assignments can sometimes be messy/unclear on the order.

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

No data available.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- One of the fastest professors to reply and gives you a thorough explanation

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

No data available.

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- I thought the instructor did a good job of explaining the labs and helping us learn the material through hands-on activities.
- I was amazed how quickly he responded to emails. If I sent him an email on a Sunday evening, he would respond within 5 minutes. I really enjoyed his class and teaching style
- I wish the class would've gotten really detailed with a couple of tools rather than only briefly mentioning a bunch of different ones. I feel like lectures didn't explain things very well either. He just did the assignments in class and did not provide a lot of explanation.