Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Linux Administration.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

Akram Al-Rawi

Linux Administration (202201_10798_IT_231_377_aalrawi)
No. of responses = 10
No. of enrolled = 13
% returned = 76.9

Overall indicators

1. Course Structure — av.=4.3 dev.=1

2. Class Environment — av.=4.3 dev.=0.9

3. Instructor Responsiveness — av.=4.6 dev.=0.6

4. Effectiveness — av.=4.2 dev.=1.2

5. Overall — av.=4.3 dev.=0.9



Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



n=10
av.=4
dev.=1.3

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



n=10
av.=4.6
dev.=0.7

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



n=10
av.=4.4
dev.=0.7

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.



n=10
av.=4
dev.=1.2

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=10
av.=4.1
dev.=1.2

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=10
av.=4.1
dev.=1.1

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=10
av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=10
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=10
av.=4.5
dev.=0.7

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=10
av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=10
av.=4.4
dev.=1

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=10
av.=3.9
dev.=1.4

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



Poor — Excellent

n=10
av.=4.3
dev.=0.9

Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Linux Administration

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure



1.1)	Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	n=10	av.=4.0
1.2)	The instructor provided a class syllabus.	n=10	av.=4.6
1.3)	The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	n=10	av.=4.4
1.4)	The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	n=10	av.=4.0

2. Class Environment



2.1)	The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	n=10	av.=4.1
2.2)	The instructor encourages participation and questions.	n=10	av.=4.1
2.3)	The instructor treats students with respect.	n=10	av.=4.7

3. Instructor Responsiveness



3.1)	The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	n=10	av.=4.6
3.2)	The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	n=10	av.=4.5
3.3)	The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	n=10	av.=4.7

4. Effectiveness



4.1)	Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	n=10	av.=4.4
4.2)	The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	n=10	av.=3.9

5. Overall



5.1)	Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	n=10	av.=4.3

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- There were a few time that when the NDG curriculum didn't work or aligned within our VM environment. This wasn't necessarily a bad thing as it gave opportunity to troubleshoot but it did sometimes make the material a little unclear.

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- Professor Al-Rawi always answers questions thoroughly and is happy to help students get where they need to be. If he doesn't know something he spends plenty of time (outside of class) researching the answer and then sends his findings to us students.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- Professor Al-Rawi has one of the fastest response time I have ever encountered.

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- Professor Al-Rawi expects students to do the reading on their own time and helps us through the practical side of things in class. Lecture involves expanding on the commands we are using in the lab and troubleshooting issues we come upon. He is patient with all types of questions.
- Teacher just does the work. Doesn't actually "teach" just talks your ears out!!!!!

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- Doesn't teach! Canvas Assignments are all over the place. Needs to be better organized. Very Frustrating.
- He is an excellent teacher and presents his classes in an easy to follow way that really helps understand the topic. 10/10
- Professor Al-Rawi is a JCCC treasure. Well-experienced and knowledgeable in many subjects, intelligent, a great teacher, and still has a curious mind ready to seek answers to problems that arise through the semester. I'm glad to have the opportunity to take several of his classes.