Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for IT Scripting.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Market and Survey Research Analyst
Office of Institutional Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

Akram Al-Rawi

IT Scripting (202301_11466_IT_202_376_aalrawi)
No. of responses = 7
No. of enrolled = 13
% returned = 53.8

Overall indicators

1. Course Structure — av.=4.3 dev.=0.9

2. Class Environment — av.=4.1 dev.=0.9

3. Instructor Responsiveness — av.=4.6 dev.=0.5

4. Effectiveness — av.=3.8 dev.=1.3

5. Overall — av.=3.7 dev.=1.4

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



n=7 av.=3.7 dev.=1.6

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



n=7 av.=4.6 dev.=0.5

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



n=7 av.=4.6 dev.=0.5

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.



n=7 av.=4.3 dev.=1.1

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



n=7
av.=3.9
dev.=1.1

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.



n=7
av.=4
dev.=1

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.



n=7
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



n=7
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.



n=7
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).



n=7
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.



n=7
av.=4.1
dev.=1.1

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



n=7
av.=3.4
dev.=1.5

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



n=7
av.=3.7
dev.=1.4

Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) IT Scripting (202301_11466_IT_202_376_aalrawi)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1)	Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=3.7
1.2)	The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.6
1.3)	The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.6
1.4)	The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.3

2. Class Environment

2.1)	The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=3.9
2.2)	The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.0
2.3)	The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.6

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1)	The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.6
3.2)	The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.6
3.3)	The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.6

4. Effectiveness

4.1)	Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=4.1
4.2)	The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=7	av.=3.4

5. Overall

5.1)	Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor	Excellent	n=7	av.=3.7

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

No data available.

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

No data available.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

No data available.

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- When you are coding in python, you should be using a real IDE such as VS Code or some other python code editor. No one coding in python would be writing code using the NANO editor. Also, there were so many wording errors in the assignment documents and sometimes it was unclear the full expectations of the assignments.

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- Again, this class should be taught using a proper python code editor. The wording in the assignment documents needs to be reviewed and corrected. Overall, this class was done poorly.
- An all around excellent teacher