



Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Introduction to Networks.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Senior Planning & Research Analyst
Institutional Planning & Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu



Akram Al-Rawi

Introduction to Networks (202306_60360_IT_141_376_aalrawi)

No. of responses = 13

No. of enrolled = 13

% returned = 100


Overall indicators

1. Course Structure



av.=4.5
dev.=1.1

2. Class Environment




av.=4.4
dev.=1.1

3. Instructor Responsiveness




av.=4.4
dev.=1.1

4. Effectiveness



av.=4.3
dev.=1.2

5. Overall




av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

Survey Results

Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention


1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.




n=13
av.=4.3
dev.=1.1

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.




n=13
av.=4.6
dev.=1.1

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.




n=13
av.=4.6
dev.=1.1

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.




n=13
av.=4.5
dev.=1.1


2. Class Environment




3. Instructor Responsiveness



4. Effectiveness



5. Overall



Profile

Subunit:

CSIT: IT

Name of the instructor:

Akram Al-Rawi

Name of the course:
(Name of the survey)

Introduction to Networks (202306_60360_IT_141_376_aalrawi)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.3
1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.6
1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.6
1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.5

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.5
2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.4
2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.5

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.4
3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.2
3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.6

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.4
4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.3

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor					Excellent	n=13	av.=4.6
---	------	--	--	--	--	-----------	------	---------

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- He valued student's time and if we when over he advised we could leave.

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- very open to student question and always willing to take time to help any student.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- I did really ask questions about how I was doing. I can go by the grading metric to the upper right-hand portion of the page. However, he did provide feedback for answers I got wrong and submission of the wrong assignment.

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- I re-watch all the classes that were recorded that I attended.
- very good at presenting the material in an efficient mannor.

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- For summer courses, some things need to be reduced or condensed. The end of the Cisco course (reading) was like a summary of parts from the start. But I guess Cisco wanted to tie issues in for understanding purposes.
- Full transparency, instructor was hard to understand at times and went fast at times, BUT he ALWAYS made himself available to answer questions and responded fast when needed, and the classes were recorded so you could always re-watch. One thing that would be beneficial would be offering additional tutoring so that you can hear different versions from different teachers to contrast and help understand better.