Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Linux Fundamentals.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Senior Planning & Research Analyst
Institutional Planning & Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

Akram Al-Rawi
## Linux Fundamentals (202306_60363_IT_230_376_aalrawi)
No. of responses = 9
No. of enrolled = 10
% returned = 90

Overall indicators

1. Course Structure
av.=4.5
dev.=0.6

**2. Class Environment**
av.=4.4
dev.=0.9

3. Instructor Responsiveness
av.=4.4
dev.=0.9

4. Effectiveness
av.=4.2
dev.=1.0

5. Overall
av.=4.3
dev.=1.0

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Absolute Frequencies of answers
Relative Frequencies of answers

Std. Dev.   Mean
25.00 0.00 50.00 0.00 25.00
25%   0%   50%   0%   25%

Left pole   Right pole

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Scale   Histogram
1 2 3 4 5

---

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.

| | | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 |
| | 0% | 0% | 11.1% | 55.6% | 33.3% |

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.2
dev.=0.7

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.

0.00 0.00 0.00 2.00 7.00
0% 0% 0% 22.2% 77.8%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.8
dev.=0.4

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.

0.00 0.00 1.00 3.00 5.00
0% 0% 11.1% 33.3% 55.6%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.4
dev.=0.7

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.

0.00 0.00 0.00 3.00 6.00
0% 0% 0% 33.3% 66.7%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

---

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.

	0.00 0%	0.00 0%	2.00 22.2%	2.00 22.2%	5.00 55.6%	
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5	Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.3
dev.=0.9

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.

	0.00 0%	1.00 12.5%	0.00 0%	2.00 25%	5.00 62.5%	
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5	Strongly Agree

n=8
av.=4.4
dev.=1.1

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.

	0.00 0%	0.00 0%	1.00 11.1%	2.00 22.2%	6.00 66.7%	
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5	Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.6
dev.=0.7

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.

	0.00 0%	0.00 0%	1.00 11.1%	2.00 22.2%	6.00 66.7%	
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5	Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.6
dev.=0.7

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.

	0.00 0%	1.00 11.1%	0.00 0%	3.00 33.3%	5.00 55.6%	
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5	Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.3
dev.=1.0

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).

	0.00 0%	0.00 0%	2.00 22.2%	1.00 11.1%	6.00 66.7%	
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5	Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.4
dev.=0.9

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.

|	0.00 0%	0.00 0%	2.00 22.2%	2.00 22.2%	5.00 55.6%	
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5	Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.3
dev.=0.9

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.

	0.00 0%	1.00 11.1%	2.00 22.2%	1.00 11.1%	5.00 55.6%	
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5	Strongly Agree

n=9
av.=4.1
dev.=1.2

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.

	0.00 0%	1.00 11.1%	0.00 0%	3.00 33.3%	5.00 55.6%	
Poor	1	2	3	4	5	Excellent

n=9
av.=4.3
dev.=1.0

Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
Name of the course: Linux Fundamentals (202306_60363_IT_230_376_aalrawi)
(Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

			n	av.
1.1)	Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree —— Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.2
1.2)	The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree —— Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.8
1.3)	The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree —— Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.4
1.4)	The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree —— Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.7

2. Class Environment

			n	av.
2.1)	The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree —— Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.3
2.2)	The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree —— Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.4
2.3)	The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree —— Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.6

3. Instructor Responsiveness

			n	av.
3.1)	The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree —— Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.6
3.2)	The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree —— Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.3
3.3)	The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree —— Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.4

4. Effectiveness

			n	av.
4.1)	Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree —— Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.3
4.2)	The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree —— Strongly Agree	n=9	av.=4.1

5. Overall

			n	av.
5.1)	Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor —— Excellent	n=9	av.=4.3

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- Everything is laid out clearly and concisely in the syllabus. Professor Al-Rawi did a great job of communicating how grades are determined, when assignments were due, and where to access materials needed to complete everything in the course.

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- Professor Al-Rawi often stops to ask if students have any questions. He gives ample time to respond before continuing. He treats his students with the upmost respect and holds himself in an incredibly professional manner.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- I did not have to e-mail any questions to Professor Al-Rawi because he answered them during class.

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- I feel as though any bad feedback surrounding Professor Al-Rawi's method of teaching is simply due to neglect on the part of the students. Professor Al-Rawi's method of teaching is great. He goes over labs and teaches not only what to do and how to do it but why it is done. If students do not read, it can be difficult to follow, but that is on the student and not Professor Al-Rawi's teaching method.

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- I truly enjoyed Professor Al-Rawi's course and look forward to taking his classes in the future.