Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Linux Administration.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Senior Planning & Research Analyst
Institutional Planning & Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

Akram Al-Rawi

Linux Administration (202308_81507_IT_231_376_aalrawi)
No. of responses = 14
No. of enrolled = 14
% returned = 100

Overall indicators

1. Course Structure — av.=4.4 dev.=0.7

2. Class Environment — av.=4 dev.=1.3

3. Instructor Responsiveness — av.=4.6 dev.=0.7

4. Effectiveness — av.=3.9 dev.=1.4

5. Overall — av.=3.8 dev.=1.4

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



n=14 av.=4.1 dev.=1

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



n=14 av.=4.7 dev.=0.5

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



n=14 av.=4.5 dev.=0.5

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.



n=14 av.=4.4 dev.=0.8

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=14
av.=4
dev.=1.2

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=14
av.=3.9
dev.=1.2

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=13
av.=4.2
dev.=1.4

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=14
av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=14
av.=4.4
dev.=1

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=14
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=14
av.=4.1
dev.=1.3

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

n=14
av.=3.7
dev.=1.4

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



Poor — Excellent

n=14
av.=3.8
dev.=1.4

Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Linux Administration (202308_81507_IT_231_376_aalrawi)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1)	Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=4.1
1.2)	The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=4.7
1.3)	The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=4.5
1.4)	The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=4.4

2. Class Environment

2.1)	The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=4
2.2)	The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=3.9
2.3)	The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.2

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1)	The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=4.7
3.2)	The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=4.4
3.3)	The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=4.6

4. Effectiveness

4.1)	Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=4.1
4.2)	The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=3.7

5. Overall

5.1)	Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor	Excellent	n=14	av.=3.8

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- Professor is extremely thorough regarding expectations and guidelines for the class.
- The material on canvas could be much better organized.

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- Instructor is knowledgable and kind but there is not much participation in class.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- Grades assignments extremely quickly, and is readily available.

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- Instructor simply walks students through labs. A little too much handholding.
- Recorded videos are very helpful and well-done, I have found that having the videos enables me to absorb the material more effectively by being able to rewind and really grasp the material while supplementing the topics with other resources

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- Absolutely dedicated professor
- Professor Al-Rawi is an excellent professor who has taught me a great deal. I will miss him. Thank you!
- Wish the labs were more engaging.