



Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Ethical Hacking.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Senior Planning & Research Analyst
Institutional Planning & Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu



Akram Al-Rawi

Ethical Hacking (202401_11068_IT_239_376_aalrawi)

No. of responses = 8

No. of enrolled = 11

% returned = 72.7


Overall indicators

1. Course Structure



av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

2. Class Environment



av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

3. Instructor Responsiveness




av.=4.6
dev.=0.7

4. Effectiveness



av.=4.8
dev.=0.5

5. Overall



av.=4.8
dev.=0.5

Survey Results

Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure


1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



Strongly Agree

n=8
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5


1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=8
av.=4.9
dev.=0.4


1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



Strongly Agree

n=8
av.=4.6
dev.=0.5


1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.




Strongly Agree

n=8
av.=4.8
dev.=0.5


2. Class Environment



3. Instructor Responsiveness



4. Effectiveness



5. Overall



Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
 Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
 Name of the course: Ethical Hacking
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.6
1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.9
1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.6
1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.8

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.8
2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.6
2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.8

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.9
3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.6
3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.4

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.8
4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree	n=8	av.=4.8

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor					Excellent	n=8	av.=4.8
---	------	--	--	--	--	-----------	-----	---------

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

^{1.5)} Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

No data available.

2. Class Environment

^{2.4)} Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

No data available.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

^{3.4)} Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

No data available.

4. Effectiveness

^{4.3)} Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

No data available.

5. Overall

^{5.2)} Additional comments concerning this course.

No data available.