Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Ethical Hacking.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Senior Planning & Research Analyst
Institutional Planning & Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

Akram Al-Rawi

Ethical Hacking (202401_11066_IT_239_300_aalrawi)
No. of responses = 15
No. of enrolled = 18
% returned = 83.3

Overall indicators

1. Course Structure — av.=4.7 dev.=0.6

2. Class Environment — av.=4.5 dev.=0.9

3. Instructor Responsiveness — av.=4.8 dev.=0.5

4. Effectiveness — av.=4.4 dev.=1.1

5. Overall — av.=4.5 dev.=0.9

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



n=15 av.=4.5 dev.=0.8

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



n=15 av.=4.8 dev.=0.6

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



n=15 av.=4.8 dev.=0.4

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.



n=15 av.=4.6 dev.=0.7

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



n=15
av.=4.3
dev.=1

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.



n=15
av.=4.5
dev.=0.9

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.



n=15
av.=4.7
dev.=0.8

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



n=15
av.=4.9
dev.=0.3

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.



n=15
av.=4.5
dev.=0.8

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).



n=15
av.=4.8
dev.=0.4

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.



n=15
av.=4.5
dev.=0.9

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



n=15
av.=4.2
dev.=1.2

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



n=15
av.=4.5
dev.=0.9

Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Ethical Hacking (202401_11066_IT_239_300_aalrawi)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1)	Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.5
1.2)	The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.8
1.3)	The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.8
1.4)	The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.6

2. Class Environment

2.1)	The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.3
2.2)	The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.5
2.3)	The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.7

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1)	The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.9
3.2)	The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.5
3.3)	The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.8

4. Effectiveness

4.1)	Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.5
4.2)	The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.2

5. Overall

5.1)	Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor	Excellent	n=15	av.=4.5

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- I do believe the course structure is good, I just feel like the layout in canvas could be better.

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- Needs better people skills.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- The assignments are graded very quickly, even on the weekends. I also received email responses on the weekends.
- Very communicative and helpful when I was stuck on assignments.

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- Minimal feedback was provided.

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- Awesome instructor! Always a pleasure, always learn a lot from him. I really like how he has everything set up, provides a lot of helpful resources. I appreciate the lab recordings too
- Course material and labs are challenging and worthwhile, I feel as though I did learn a great deal.
- Great course! I learned a lot! The layout in canvas is bit confusing and could use some organization, but there is a lot to learn and lots of great labs!
- It was a good class for the most part! Honestly, some of the lab instructions are written like crap and it's hard to understand. Not to mention some of the labs were wrong and had to be corrected multiple times. Overall, good teacher though! He was fast at responding (like literally within a minute one time). He is hard to understand, but does his best to get everyone to learn during his time.