Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Linux Administration.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Senior Planning & Research Analyst
Institutional Planning & Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

Akram Al-Rawi

Linux Administration (202401_11063_IT_231_377_aalrawi)
No. of responses = 10
No. of enrolled = 14
% returned = 71.4

Overall indicators

1. Course Structure — av.=4.9 dev.=0.3

2. Class Environment — av.=4.8 dev.=0.4

3. Instructor Responsiveness — av.=4.8 dev.=0.5

4. Effectiveness — av.=4.7 dev.=0.7

5. Overall — av.=4.8 dev.=0.4

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.
Strongly Disagree — 0 0 0 2 8 — 0% 0% 0% 20% 80% — Strongly Agree
n=10 av.=4.8 dev.=0.4

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.
Strongly Disagree — 0 0 0 1 9 — 0% 0% 0% 10% 90% — Strongly Agree
n=10 av.=4.9 dev.=0.3

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.
Strongly Disagree — 0 0 0 1 9 — 0% 0% 0% 10% 90% — Strongly Agree
n=10 av.=4.9 dev.=0.3

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.
Strongly Disagree — 0 0 0 1 9 — 0% 0% 0% 10% 90% — Strongly Agree
n=10 av.=4.9 dev.=0.3

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



n=10
av.=4.8
dev.=0.4

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.



n=10
av.=4.8
dev.=0.4

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.



n=10
av.=4.9
dev.=0.3

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



n=10
av.=4.9
dev.=0.3

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.



n=10
av.=4.6
dev.=1

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).



n=10
av.=4.9
dev.=0.3

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.



n=10
av.=4.7
dev.=0.7

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



n=10
av.=4.7
dev.=0.7

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



n=10
av.=4.8
dev.=0.4

Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Linux Administration (202401_11063_IT_231_377_aalrawi)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1)	Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.8
1.2)	The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.9
1.3)	The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.9
1.4)	The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.9

2. Class Environment

2.1)	The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.8
2.2)	The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.8
2.3)	The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.9

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1)	The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.9
3.2)	The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.6
3.3)	The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.9

4. Effectiveness

4.1)	Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.7
4.2)	The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.7

5. Overall

5.1)	Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor — Excellent	n=10	av.=4.8

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- Excellent set of additional materials offered with the syllabus.
- Great structure

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- Always willing to answer questions and encourage self-troubleshooting while providing guidance.
- Excellent instruction. Every instructor should teach this way. Walking students through the labs HELPS the process and makes it so much easier to understand.
- fast but very productive class environment

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- Professor Al-Rawi returns messages in a timely manner, making it easier for students to get answers and continue their work.
- Very quick to respond to student questions!

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- He actually talkes about troubleshooting Linux, which helps the learning process. Excellent!
- Very effective teacher!

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- Great course feel like I learned a lot even though i struggled being new to this subject
- Great instructor! Always a pleasure, definitely learn a lot from him. I like how he has everything set up.
- Highly recommend the course. As a foreigner, I had some initial difficulties with the instructor's dialect which in no way is indicative of any issues. In fact, once used to it, the instructor is clear and methodical. Again, highly recommend this class with Mr. Al-Rawi.