Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Introduction to Networks.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Senior Planning & Research Analyst
Institutional Planning & Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

Akram Al-Rawi
Introduction to Networks (202406_60201_IT_141_350_aalrawi)
No. of responses = 12
No. of enrolled = 14
% returned = 85.7

## Overall indicators

1. Course Structure
av.=4.6
dev.=0.6

2. Class Environment
av.=4.6
dev.=0.6

3. Instructor Responsiveness
av.=4.8
dev.=0.5

4. Effectiveness
av.=4.3
dev.=0.8

5. Overall
av.=4.4
dev.=1.2

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Absolute Frequencies of answers
Relative Frequencies of answers
Std. Dev.
Mean

Left pole
Right pole

Scale
Histogram

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure

| 1.1) | Material is presented in a clear, logical manner. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=12 av.=4.3 dev.=0.9 |

| | 1: 0 (0%) | 2: 1 (8.3%) | 3: 0 (0%) | 4: 5 (41.7%) | 5: 6 (50%) |

| 1.2) | The instructor provided a class syllabus. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=12 av.=4.8 dev.=0.4 |

| | 1: 0 (0%) | 2: 0 (0%) | 3: 0 (0%) | 4: 2 (16.7%) | 5: 10 (83.3%) |

| 1.3) | The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=12 av.=4.8 dev.=0.4 |

| | 1: 0 (0%) | 2: 0 (0%) | 3: 0 (0%) | 4: 2 (16.7%) | 5: 10 (83.3%) |

| 1.4) | The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined. | Strongly Disagree | Strongly Agree | n=12 av.=4.6 dev.=0.9 |

| | 1: 0 (0%) | 2: 1 (8.3%) | 3: 0 (0%) | 4: 2 (16.7%) | 5: 9 (75%) |

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.

	0	1	0	3	8
	0%	8.3%	0%	25%	66.7%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=12
av.=4.5
dev.=0.9

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.

	0	0	1	4	7
	0%	0%	8.3%	33.3%	58.3%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=12
av.=4.5
dev.=0.7

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.

	0	0	0	1	11
	0%	0%	0%	8.3%	91.7%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=12
av.=4.9
dev.=0.3

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.

	0	0	0	1	11
	0%	0%	0%	8.3%	91.7%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=12
av.=4.9
dev.=0.3

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.

	0	0	1	2	9
	0%	0%	8.3%	16.7%	75%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=12
av.=4.7
dev.=0.7

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).

	0	0	1	1	10
	0%	0%	8.3%	8.3%	83.3%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=12
av.=4.8
dev.=0.6

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.

	0	0	2	2	7
	0%	0%	18.2%	18.2%	63.6%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=11
av.=4.5
dev.=0.8

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.

	0	0	3	3	5
	0%	0%	27.3%	27.3%	45.5%

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5

n=11
av.=4.2
dev.=0.9

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.

	1	0	0	3	8
	8.3%	0%	0%	25%	66.7%

Poor — Excellent

1 2 3 4 5

n=12
av.=4.4
dev.=1.2

Profile

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

|			n	av.
1.1)	Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.3
1.2)	The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.8
1.3)	The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.8
1.4)	The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.6

2. Class Environment

			n	av.
2.1)	The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.5
2.2)	The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.5
2.3)	The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.9

3. Instructor Responsiveness

|			n	av.
3.1)	The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.9
3.2)	The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.7
3.3)	The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=12	av.=4.8

4. Effectiveness

			n	av.
4.1)	Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.5
4.2)	The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=11	av.=4.2

5. Overall

			n	av.
5.1)	Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor — Excellent	n=12	av.=4.4

Comments Report

- -

1. Course Structure

1.5)   Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

No data available.

2. Class Environment

2.4)   Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

■ I wish we had less homework and more explanation of what we are doing and why we are doing it. However, I understand that he is trying to match what the other instructors are doing and this is a summer class so everything is more accelerated. I do like it that he goes over literally everything, but I think I would have learned more with less assignments, more explanations, and more critical thinking.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4)   Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

■ Very good with communicating

4. Effectiveness

4.3)   Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

■ Again, I just wish we had less assignments. From doing a lot of labs, I have muscle memory that is learned (which is good) but not always sure why I am doing commands and how it is beneficial. I understand that I could have utilized more of the power points and the cisco materials, but it feels like a lot of material especially considering I work full time.

5. Overall

5.2)   Additional comments concerning this course.

■ He treats everyone with respect and it is clear he wants everyone to do well.