Akram Al-Rawi

Course Evaluation Results

Akram Al-Rawi,

Attached are the results of the course evaluation for Linux Fundamentals.

The report includes:

* Overall summary of each section:

- Course Structure
- Class Environment
- Instructor Responsiveness
- Effectiveness
- Overall

* Breakout of each section by item

* Open-ended comments (if no comments received, this section will be blank)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Gina Brewer
Senior Planning & Research Analyst
Institutional Planning & Research
rbrewer6@jccc.edu

Akram Al-Rawi

Linux Fundamentals (202406_60207_IT_230_350_aalrawi)
No. of responses = 10
No. of enrolled = 13
% returned = 76.9

Overall indicators

1. Course Structure

av.=4.5
dev.=0.8

2. Class Environment

av.=4.3
dev.=0.9

3. Instructor Responsiveness

av.=4.5
dev.=0.7

4. Effectiveness

av.=4
dev.=1.3

5. Overall



av.=4
dev.=1.2

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Course Structure

1.1) Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.



n=10
av.=4.2
dev.=1.1

1.2) The instructor provided a class syllabus.



n=10
av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

1.3) The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.



n=10
av.=4.6
dev.=0.7

1.4) The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.



n=10
av.=4.5
dev.=1

2. Class Environment

2.1) The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.



n=10
av.=4.3
dev.=1.1

2.2) The instructor encourages participation and questions.



n=10
av.=4.3
dev.=0.9

2.3) The instructor treats students with respect.



n=10
av.=4.4
dev.=0.7

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1) The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.



n=10
av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

3.2) The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.



n=10
av.=4
dev.=1.2

3.3) The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).



n=10
av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

4. Effectiveness

4.1) Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.



n=10
av.=4.1
dev.=1.3

4.2) The instructor's method of teaching is effective.



n=10
av.=3.8
dev.=1.4

5. Overall

5.1) Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.



n=10
av.=4
dev.=1.2

Profile

Subunit: CSIT: IT
Name of the instructor: Akram Al-Rawi
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Linux Fundamentals

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Course Structure

1.1)	Material is presented in a clear, logical manner.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.2
1.2)	The instructor provided a class syllabus.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.7
1.3)	The instructor followed the guidelines in the syllabus.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.6
1.4)	The instructor clearly communicates how grades are determined.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.5

2. Class Environment

2.1)	The instructor creates an atmosphere conducive to learning.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.3
2.2)	The instructor encourages participation and questions.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.3
2.3)	The instructor treats students with respect.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.4

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.1)	The instructor grades assignments in a timely manner.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.7
3.2)	The instructor clearly communicates how I am doing in the course.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4
3.3)	The instructor answers e-mail within a reasonable time (within 24 hours during the work week, for example).	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.7

4. Effectiveness

4.1)	Feedback from the instructor on my work helps me learn.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=4.1
4.2)	The instructor's method of teaching is effective.	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree	n=10	av.=3.8

5. Overall

5.1)	Overall evaluation of the instruction for this course.	Poor	Excellent	n=10	av.=4

Comments Report

1. Course Structure

1.5) Additional comments for items 1.1 - 1.4

- i did not get an understanding on the scaling between the chapter quizzes, vs. the labs, vs. projects/skill assessments.
- I wish the class was more streamlined to go from the basics to the hard things. It seemed like we were jumping right into the future material without even knowing what it was about first. The class seemed like it didn't flow very well.
- The instructor excelled in presenting the material in a clear and logical manner, which greatly facilitated my understanding of the subject matter. The provision of a comprehensive class syllabus at the beginning of the course was extremely helpful in guiding my study and preparation. I appreciate that the instructor adhered to the guidelines outlined in the syllabus throughout the course, ensuring consistency and predictability in the learning process. Furthermore, the instructor’s clear communication regarding the determination of grades allowed me to understand the expectations and work towards meeting them effectively. Overall, the instructor’s approach significantly enhanced my learning experience in this course.

2. Class Environment

2.4) Additional comments for items 2.1 - 2.3.

- None
- The class environment fostered by the instructor significantly contributed to my positive learning experience. The atmosphere was conducive to open discussion, encouraging us to share our thoughts and ideas. The instructor’s respectful and inclusive approach created a safe space for all students to learn and grow. I strongly appreciate the effort put into creating such a productive and comfortable learning environment.

3. Instructor Responsiveness

3.4) Additional comments for items 3.1 - 3.3.

- None
- One of the standout aspects of this course was the instructor's exceptional responsiveness. No matter the time of day, questions and concerns were addressed promptly and thoroughly, fostering an environment of continuous support and engagement. This level of dedication significantly enhanced the learning experience and demonstrated a genuine commitment to student success

4. Effectiveness

4.3) Additional comments for items 4.1 - 4.2.

- I am not entirely sure that the teacher understood what he was teaching. He talks incredibly slow and in circles but then seems to rush through the important parts without allowing for note taking or anything. He did some of the quizzes live with students and did not have a grasp on the topics or questions at all and never once referred to the source material to check.
- None
- The course evaluation highlighted a remarkable level of effectiveness. The material was presented in a way that maximized understanding and retention, demonstrating the instructor's dedication and expertise. felt well-prepared and confident in my knowledge, thanks to the well-structured and engaging course design. This level of effectiveness is a testament to the quality of the educational experience provided

5. Overall

5.2) Additional comments concerning this course.

- I wish i would have met the instructor before the beginning of term. I had a hard time understanding the Instructor due to English is a second language to the Instructor and before i had time to question pronunciation of words we had move deeper into the labs.
- The Linux Fundamentals class exceeded my expectations in every way. The instructor demonstrated exceptional knowledge and enthusiasm for the subject, making complex concepts accessible and engaging. his ability to explain technical details clearly and provide practical examples helped solidified my understanding. The course materials were well-organized, and the hands-on exercises were

invaluable in reinforcing the lessons. I genuinely appreciate the instructor's dedication to student success and his willingness to provide support both during and outside of class hours. This class has significantly boosted my confidence in using Linux, and I highly recommend it to anyone looking to build a strong foundation in this essential skill